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Abstract
The acid-mediated tumor invasion hypothesis proposes altered glucose metabolism and increased glucose uptake, observed in the vast majority of clinical cancers by fluorodeoxyglucose-positron emission tomography, are critical for development of the invasive phenotype. In this model, increased acid production due to altered glucose metabolism serves as a key intermediate by producing H+ flow along concentration gradients into adjacent normal tissue. This chronic exposure of peritumoral normal tissue to an acidic microenvironment produces toxicity by: (a) normal cell death caused by the collapse of the transmembrane H+ gradient inducing necrosis or apoptosis and (b) extracellular matrix degradation through the release of cathepsin B and other proteolytic enzymes. Tumor cells evolve resistance to acid-induced toxicity during carcinogenesis, allowing them to survive and proliferate in low pH microenvironments. This permits them to invade the damaged adjacent normal tissue despite the acid gradients. Here, we describe theoretical and empirical evidence for acid-mediated invasion. In silico simulations using mathematical models provide testable predictions concerning the morphology and cellular and extracellular dynamics at the tumor-host interface. In vivo experiments confirm the presence of peritumoral acid gradients as well as cellular toxicity and extracellular matrix degradation in the normal tissue exposed to the acidic microenvironment. The acid-mediated tumor invasion model provides a simple mechanism linking altered glucose metabolism with the ability of tumor cells to form invasive cancers. (Cancer Res 2006; 66(10): 5216-23)

Introduction

Fluorodeoxyglucose-positron emission tomography imaging has shown that the vast majority of human cancers exhibit significantly increased glucose flux compared with normal tissue (1, 2). This property seems to be a characteristic of invasive neoplasms and can be used to distinguish benign from malignant lung nodules (3). Increased glucose uptake is observed coincident with the transition from colon adenomas to invasive cancer (4) and from carcinoma in situ to invasive breast cancer (5). Furthermore, several studies have shown that increasing glucose uptake correlates with increasing tumor aggressiveness and progressively poorer prognosis (6–8).

The observed increase in glucose demand occurs on top of mitochondrial energy production and reflects an unregulated increase in the consumption and trapping of glucose beyond the cells’ ability to oxidize pyruvate (9, 10). Some of the elevated glycolysis likely reflects adaptive changes to regions of intratumoral hypoxia that are caused by disordered vascularization with temporal and spatial variations in blood flow and oxygen delivery (11, 12). However, constitutive up-regulation of glycolysis is also observed even in the presence of adequate oxygen supplies (aerobic glycolysis): a phenomenon first noted by Warburg >80 years ago (13, 14).

We propose that this altered glucose metabolism and flux in malignant cells plays a critical role in cancer biology (15–17). Briefly, we hypothesize that the glycolytic phenotype first emerges as a survival mechanism in the regions of intermittent hypoxia that occur in premalignant lesions (17). These hypoxic regions are established as hyperplasia increases the spatial separation between intraluminal cells and their blood supply, which remains in the stroma separated from the tumor cells by an intact basement membrane. These dynamics result in cycles of hypoxia-normoxia (18). Adaptation to this unstable environment includes constitutive up-regulation of glycolysis, which remains elevated even in the presence of oxygen (in anticipation of the next anoxic episode). Elevated glycolysis also results in greater acid production, which is exacerbated by the increasing distance between cells and the acid sink provided by the blood vessels. Microenvironmental acidosis could lead to cellular necrosis and apoptosis (19, 20), adding additional selection forces that drive cancer cells to evolve phenotypes with increased resistance to acid-induced cellular toxicity (21, 22).

The net result of this evolutionary sequence is a cellular phenotype with a powerful adaptive advantage. These ‘‘aggressive’’ cancer cells alter their microenvironment by increased production of glycolytically derived acid. This is toxic to normal cell competitors but less harmful to the cancer cells themselves.

An extension of this concept is the acid-mediated tumor invasion hypothesis (15, 16, 23). We propose that invasive cancers continue to use the glycolytic phenotype to their advantage, thus explaining the persistence of aerobic glycolysis in clinically evident primary cancers and metastasis. The model includes the following components:

1. Increased glycolysis of cancers alters the microenvironment by substantially reducing intratumoral pH—-a phenomenon observed experimentally (24–26).
2. H+ ions produced by the tumor diffuse along concentration gradients into adjacent normal tissues probably carried by a buffering species.
3. Acidification of the extracellular peritumoral environment is advantageous to the tumor because it:

- induces normal cell death due to necrosis or caspase-mediated activation of p53-dependent apoptosis pathways (19, 20) and death of normal cells produces potential space into which the tumor cells may proliferate;
extracellular acidosis also promotes angiogenesis through acid-induced release of vascular endothelial growth factor and interleukin-8 (27, 28);

- acidosis indirectly promotes extracellular matrix degradation by inducing adjacent normal cells (fibroblasts and macrophages) to release proteolytic enzymes such as cathepsin B (29), or increased lysosomal recycling (30);

- acidosis inhibits immune response to tumor antigens (31).

As discussed above, we propose that, during carcinogenesis, tumor cells evolve a phenotype which is adapted to environmental acidosis and is resistant to acid-mediated toxicity. This is observed experimentally as tumor cells survive and proliferate in pH_5 significantly lower than that of normal cells (21, 22, 32), perhaps due to constitutive up-regulation of H^+ transporters or mutations in p53, caspase, or downstream effectors (22). In vivo, this phenotype confers a significant growth advantage as tumor cells proliferate in the acidic environment of the tumor-host interface allowing them to invade into the damaged normal tissue. Thus, the tumor edge can be envisioned as a traveling wave extending into normal tissue following a parallel traveling wave of increased microenvironmental acidity (16).

In the current report, this proposed mechanism of tumor invasion is tested in silico using mathematical models. We then present experimental observations of a peritumoral pH_e gradient extending into normal tissue and evidence of acid-induced toxicity in normal cells—both critical predictions of the hypothesis and of the mathematical models.

Materials and Methods

Mathematical Model

The tumor-host interface is a highly complex system dominated by nonlinear processes. The dynamics of this class of systems typically exhibit nonintuitive properties including extreme sensitivity to critical parameter values and rapid transitions between steady states with discontinuities and bifurcations. For this reason, we initially explored the hypothesis with mathematical models to test its feasibility in silico and gain some initial understanding of expected system dynamics (see Fig. I). Below, we outline our general approach. Mathematically inclined readers are encouraged to review Appendix A for more details.

Building the model: spatial constraint of growth and migration. The acid-mediated tumor invasion hypothesis can be framed mathematically as a system of reaction-diffusion equations.

Using an approach previously described (16), we begin with spatial constraint: if N_1 and N_2 denote the cell densities (in cells/cm^3) of the normal and tumor populations and assuming these populations only compete for available space, then their temporal evolution is governed by the following equations

\[
\frac{\partial N_1}{\partial t} = r_1 N_1 \left( 1 - \frac{N_1}{K_1} - \frac{N_2}{K_2} \right) + \nabla \cdot \left[ D_{N_1} \left( 1 - \frac{N_1}{K_1} - \frac{N_2}{K_2} \right) \nabla N_1 \right]
\]

\[
\frac{\partial N_2}{\partial t} = r_2 N_2 \left( 1 - \frac{N_1}{K_1} - \frac{N_2}{K_2} \right) + \nabla \cdot \left[ D_{N_2} \left( 1 - \frac{N_1}{K_1} - \frac{N_2}{K_2} \right) \nabla N_2 \right]
\]

where \(r_{1,2}\) and \(K_{1,2}\) are the growth rates (in 1/s) and spatial carrying capacities (in cells/cm^3) of the respective populations. If it is also assumed that cells can migrate through space via a process akin to Fickian diffusion, in which the diffusion variables are themselves density-dependent (having a maximum value in empty space and going to zero when cells are closely packed), then Eq. A becomes

\[
\frac{\partial N_1}{\partial t} = r_1 N_1 \left( 1 - \frac{N_1}{K_1} - \frac{N_2}{K_2} \right) + \nabla \cdot \left[ D_{N_1} \left( 1 - \frac{N_1}{K_1} - \frac{N_2}{K_2} \right) \nabla N_1 \right]
\]

\[
\frac{\partial N_2}{\partial t} = r_2 N_2 \left( 1 - \frac{N_1}{K_1} - \frac{N_2}{K_2} \right) + \nabla \cdot \left[ D_{N_2} \left( 1 - \frac{N_1}{K_1} - \frac{N_2}{K_2} \right) \nabla N_2 \right]
\]

where \(D_{N_1}\) and \(D_{N_2}\) (in cm^2/s) are the “empty-space” diffusion constants of the normal and tumor cells, respectively. For simplicity, we will assume that these are approximately equal: \(D_{N_1} \approx D_{N_2} \approx D_N\). The Lotka-Volterra terms ensure that the density-dependent diffusion parameters are always positive-definite \(\in [0, D_N]\).

Building the model: effects of local pH. Next, we assume that each cell type has an optimal pH for survival and that if the local pH is perturbed from that optimal value, in either an acidic or an alkaline direction, the cells begin to die. We also assume that the death rate saturates at some maximum value when the environment is extremely acidic or alkaline. The simplest ad hoc functional form meeting these criteria is an “inverted Gaussian”

\[
f_{1,2}(H) = d_{1,2} \left[ 1 - \exp \left( -\frac{H - H_1^{opt}}{2H_1^{width}} \right)^2 \right]
\]

where \(H\) is the local concentration of H^+ ions (in mol/L), \(d_{1,2}\) are the saturated death rates (in 1/s), \(H_1^{opt}\) and \(H_2^{opt}\) are the local H^+ ion concentrations (in mol/L) corresponding to the optimal pH’s, and \(H_1^{width}\) are the half-widths of the inverted Gaussian (in mol/L). Including the death rates Eq. D into Eq. B, we finally get

\[
\frac{\partial N_1}{\partial t} = r_1 N_1 \left( 1 - \frac{N_1}{K_1} - \frac{N_2}{K_2} \right) - f_1(H) N_1 + D_N \nabla \cdot \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{N_1}{K_1} - \frac{N_2}{K_2} \right) \nabla N_1 \right]
\]

\[
\frac{\partial N_2}{\partial t} = r_2 N_2 \left( 1 - \frac{N_1}{K_1} - \frac{N_2}{K_2} \right) - f_2(H) N_2 + D_N \nabla \cdot \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{N_1}{K_1} - \frac{N_2}{K_2} \right) \nabla N_2 \right]
\]

Figure 1. The dynamics of the tumor-host interface predicted by simulations from the mathematical model. The tumor edge is a traveling wave moving left to right preceded by a wave of acid extending into the peritumoral normal tissue. This results in a complementary traveling wave of receding normal tissue moving left to right as a result of acid-induced toxicity.
Building the model: acid production and uptake. We assume that H+ ions are produced at a rate proportional to the local concentration of tumor and removed by the combined effects of buffering and vascular evacuation, both of which are proportional to microvesel areal density. Thus,

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = r_3 N_2 - d_3 (H - H_0) + D_3 C^2 H$$

where $H$ is the H+ ion concentration (in mol/cm^3), $r_3$ is the H+ ion production rate (in mol/(cell s)), $d_3$ is the H+ ion uptake rate (in 1/s), $H_0$ is the H+ ion concentration in serum, and $D_3$ is the H+ ion diffusion constant (cm^2/s).

Experimental Methods

Tumors. In vivo experiments were done using two cell lines: MCF7/s and PC3N/enhanced green fluorescent protein (eGFP). The former is a human breast cancer that grows relatively slowly in vivo, whereas the latter is a rapidly growing human prostate cancer. Prior in vitro studies had measured proliferation rates, acid production, acid tolerance, and acid diffusion rates in both cell populations. Both lines were transfected with GFP to allow accurate tumor size and edge detection in vivo using fluorescent microscopy (Fig. 2).

Experiments were done in severe combined immunodeficiency (SCID) mice (6-8 weeks of age; 25-30 g) bred and housed in a defined flora animal colony. A dorsal skin fold chamber (Fig. 2) was surgically implanted under anesthesia (75 mg of ketamine and 25 mg of xylazine per kg s.c.), as described previously (33). After a 2-day recovery period, the coverslip in the chamber was gently lifted and a slurry of 2.5 to 3 × 10^5 tumor cells were placed on the exposed surface near the center of the chamber. Tumor growth was monitored using fluorescent microscopy approximately every 2 days. pH experiments were done when tumors reached a diameter of ~3.0 mm. Subsequent pH imaging was determined by tumor growth as assessed by fluorescent microscopy. The PC3N/eGFP typically began to grow immediately following placement so that images were generally obtained every 2 to 3 days. Imaging continued until the tumor occupied >50% of the chamber area. In some cases, the tumors did not grow and imaging was discontinued once tumor regression was observed.

pH measurements. Extracellular pH was measured using SNARF-1 (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR), which exhibits a spectral shift in fluorescence emission with change of pH, and has been well described in the literature (34). Spatial distribution of pH in the tumor and adjacent normal tissue was obtained using ratiometric imaging with two sets of data measuring the intensity values collected in two different spectral emission regions and converting the ratios to a pH image using calibration data.

Images were obtained with a Nikon Eclipse E-600 microscope with a Nikon C-1 confocal microscope attachment in epi-illumination mode. Light sources on this instrument include two helium-neon lasers at 543 and 632 nm, and an argon laser operating at 488 nm. Fluorescence detection was obtained through three photomultiplier tubes (PMT) set to detect fluorescence emission using a 515/30 nm filter, a 595/50 nm filter and a 640 nm long pass filter, respectively. Channel 1 was used to view the emission from GFP; channels 2 and 3 were used to capture the two spectral signals from the SNARF fluorescence emission. The 543 HeNe laser was used to excite the SNARF fluorescence and the argon laser was used to excite the GFP. The signals from the PMTs are read by Nikon’s EZ-C1 software and displayed as an image. The software is capable of simultaneously collecting 12-bit images from each PMT channel.

During the imaging procedure, the mice were anesthetized with ketamine HCl (100 mg/mL), xylazine (20 mg/mL), and acepromazine maleate (10 mg/mL) (Phoenix Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Belmont, CA). The anesthetized mouse was placed in a Plexiglas holder and the window chamber attached rigidly to the microscope stage to prevent movement. Initially, a GFP image was captured with both the 2× and 1× objective to accurately determine the tumor borders. Fluorescent images were then obtained using both the 2× and 1× objectives and the 543 HeNe laser to obtain background fluorescence to be subtracted from subsequent images.

Two hundred microliters of the 1 mmol/L SNARF solution in saline was injected via the tail vein catheter. Images were then collected using the green HeNe laser with both objectives at 15, 30, and 40 minutes after injection.

The autofluorescence background image taken before injection of the dye was subtracted on a pixel-by-pixel basis from the SNARF fluorescence images to obtain only the SNARF fluorescent signal for each channel. The background-subtracted images were smoothed (i.e., convolved with a 2 × 2 rect function) before calculating the ratio image. This smoothing has the effect of reducing high-frequency noise, but spatial resolution is also reduced. The images from each channel were smoothed before the ratio was calculated. The intensity ratios were converted to pH images following calibration for SNARF-1 in buffered solutions of varying pH as measured by a pH electrode in a 96-well plate. Three sets of calibration data were taken from the same solution on consecutive days.

Analysis of pH gradients at the tumor-tissue interface was accomplished via the following procedure. The centroid and peripheral edge of the tumor were determined from the high-contrast GFP image. The pH image was then segmented into eight directions defined as angular segments of 45 degrees from the centroid of the tumor. Within each angular segment, a binary image of tumor versus nontumor was created based on the tumor edge. Binary dilation and contraction operations were employed to grow or contract the edge of the tumor by selected distances in steps equivalent to a distance of five pixels. These dilations and contractions defined tissue regions extending either out or in, respectively, from the tumor edge. The pH values were then averaged in these regions to yield the average pH relative to the edge. The values of pH were then plotted as a function of distance from the edge for each of the eight angular segments. In some cases, angular segments were discarded if they did not correspond to valid data (e.g., the tumor was at the edge of the field of view in the window chamber so that for certain angular segments there was no
“normal” tissue outside the tumor boundary. The pH data can be used to estimate the flow of H⁺ ions at the tumor edge. This is done after binning the 512 × 512 image to 64 × 64. The gradient at the centroid of four adjacent points is calculated. The gradient array is displayed as arrows, a built-in capability of the IDL program. The arrows are then overlayed on the preexisting ratio image.

**Microscopic evaluation.** After completion of the sequence of pH imaging experiments, the xenograft tissues were harvested, fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin for 24 hours, processed and embedded in paraffin. Routine H&E and periodic acid Schiff (PAS) stains were done on 3 μm sections of tissue. Cleaved caspase-3 was detected by immunohistochemistry using the Ventana Medical Systems (Tucson, AZ) Discovery XT automated platform. Rabbit polyclonal anticleaved caspase-3 (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA) was incubated for 2 hours at 37°C at a dilution of 1:200, and detected with a biotinylated streptavidin-horseradish peroxidase and 3,3′-diaminobenzidine detection system.

**Results**

**Model results.** Numerical simulations from the models can then be done using parameter estimates based on experimentally determined proliferation rates, acid production, and acid-induced toxicity in the cell lines used in subsequent experiments. This allows the models to produce detailed predictions about cellular and microenvironmental dynamics at the tumor-host interface. The details of this analysis are included in Appendix A.

In Fig. 1, numerical solutions show that the interface, at any given time, represents a snapshot of a traveling wave as tumor cells advance and normal cells recede. The tumor wave is preceded by a gradient of excess H⁺ extending into adjacent normal tissue. Within the region of peritumoral acidosis, the models predict a loss of normal tissue due to acid-induced cellular toxicity and extracellular matrix breakdown. These results support the feasibility of the acid-mediated invasion model. The models showed that we should be able to experimentally detect a peritumoral acid gradient. Using parameter estimates available in the literature, it seemed likely that observation of the gradient and associated toxicity would require a spatial resolution in the range of ≤50 μm. This limited the appropriate experimental approach to fluorescent microscopy rather than, for example, magnetic resonance imaging or positron emission tomography.

**Experimental results.** The calibration studies showed that pH resolution of the fluorescent images was, on average, 0.042 pH units and none of the pH values varied by >0.02 over 3 days.

**In vivo** measurements showed that all of the tumors exhibited a significantly acidic pH when compared with normal tissue. Average pH values across the entire regions of interest in growing tumors were 6.91 ± 0.14 for MCF-7 tumors (n = 4) and 6.83 ± 0.21 for the PC3N tumors (n = 10). These data compare favorably to pH values measured using other approaches. For example, although the average pH decreased with tumor size, the pH of small (3-500 mm³) MCF-7 tumors was 6.99 ± 0.11 as measured with 31P MRS (35).

All of the PC3N/eGFP tumors showed a gradient of acidification extending from the tumor edge into the adjacent tumor over a typical distance of 100 to 400 μm on the first postimplantation images. All but one of these tumors continued to exhibit a significant gradient during subsequent imaging. As shown in Fig. 3, the gradient in the initial images was typically quite uniform but less so on later imaging. This spatial heterogeneity seemed to be the result of angiogenesis because the tumor was relatively avascular on the first images, but showed significant vascular growth in later studies. The expected flow of H⁺ from the tumor edge into the peritumoral normal tissue as a result of the gradients is shown in Fig. 4.

One PC3N/eGFP tumor failed to maintain a pH gradient into adjacent normal tissue and also exhibited no growth before finally regressing. A peritumoral pH gradient was not observed initially in the MCF7/s tumors which also did not exhibit any significant growth for ~21 days following implantation. However, following this lag phase, rapid growth was observed simultaneously with onset of complete acidification of the chamber. Because all of the normal tissue in the chamber became acidic, the depth of the peritumoral gradient could not be determined but was clearly larger than that of the prostate cell line.

Three of the MCF7/s tumors, despite successful initial implantation, failed to grow and eventually involuted. In all cases, the initially acidic intratumoral pH returned to normal values as tumor growth failed.

The relatively shallow peritumoral pH gradient observed in the PC3N/eGFP tumors allowed the local effects on the normal tissue within the acidic gradient to be assessed. Following the in vivo

---

**Figure 3.** pH gradients at the PC3N/eGFP tumor-host interface along radians drawn from the tumor center. The tumor-host interface is designated as the 0 point on the x-axis. All of the experiments showed a peritumoral acid gradient that was qualitatively and quantitatively similar to the results from the mathematical model in Fig. 1. Values obtained 2 days following placement of the tumor slurry (A). The relatively avascular tumor shows a fairly uniform pH distribution and gradient. Values obtained 4 days later (B). During that time, significant tumor growth was observed. Note that the pH distribution is less uniform, presumably representing increasing microenvironmental heterogeneity due to variations in tumor vascular distribution and flow.
experiments, the mice were sacrificed and the tissue in the chamber was removed and fixed. As shown in Fig. 5, caspase stains from these samples showed evidence of apoptosis in multiple cells adjacent to the tumor edge in the acidic regions shown on FRIM images. H&E stains also show evidence of toxicity in skeletal muscle cells immediately adjacent to the tumor edge but not those more distant. In Fig. 6, PAS stains showed evidence of considerable degradation in the extracellular matrix immediately adjacent to the tumor edge.

Discussion

The acid-mediated tumor invasion hypothesis proposes that increased glycolysis, a phenotypic trait almost invariably observed in human cancers, confers a selective growth advantage on transformed cells because it allows them to create an environment toxic to competitors but relatively harmless to themselves. Specifically, this model hypothesizes that cancer cells use inefficient glycolytic pathways even in the presence of oxygen because it results in increased acid production, and a decrease in microenvironmental pH. Through an evolutionary sequence during carcinogenesis, tumor cells evolve phenotypic adaptations to the toxic effects of acidosis including, for example, increased H+ transport against concentration gradients across the cell membrane and mutations in acid-induced apoptotic pathways. Normal tissue, lacking these adaptive traits, is vulnerable to acid-mediated toxicity including cell necrosis and apoptosis, and degradation of the extracellular matrix by acid-induces release of cathepsin B and other proteolytic enzymes.

This proposed mechanism of tumor invasion is initially evaluated through mathematical models. Because the tumor-host interface is a highly complex structure, mathematical modeling can provide insights into the governing nonlinear dynamics not obtainable intuitively. These models show the feasibility of acid-mediated tumor invasion and made detailed predictions regarding the cellular and microenvironmental dynamics of the tumor-host interface which could be tested experimentally (Fig. 1).

The in vivo experiments presented in this study confirm the modeling predictions that tumors acidify the extracellular space of normal tissue around the tumor edge. This gradient of acidosis seems quite variable in size ranging from 100 to 400 μm in the PC3N/eGFP line and at least a few millimeters in the MCF7/s line. Our observations suggest that this heterogeneity in the acid gradients is likely dependent on variations in vascular density and blood flow. Each blood vessel may act as a H+ sink depending on flow rate and the acid gradient across the vessel wall. On histologic sections, we found a significantly increased (but highly variable) vascular density in the tumor edge and the normal tissue immediately adjacent to the edge (see Fig. 6, for example). Integrating the interactions of vessel growth, blood...
and extracellular matrix degradation in this acidic region supporting, but not confirming, the proposal that the gradient plays an important role in promoting tumor invasion. This suggests that continued investigation is warranted both to increase understanding of the critical intracellular and extracellular interactions at the tumor-host interface and develop novel tumor therapy strategies based on perturbations of those system dynamics (23, 36).

Appendix A. Nondimensionalization of the Model

Equations D and E can be nondimensionalized using the following transformations:

\[ \eta_1 = \frac{N_1}{K_1} \]
\[ \eta_2 = \frac{N_2}{K_2} \]
\[ A = \frac{H}{H_0} \quad (F) \]
\[ \tau = r_1 t \]
\[ \zeta = \sqrt{r_1/D_{xx}} \]

which transforms Eqs. D and E into

\[ \frac{\partial \eta_1}{\partial \tau} = \eta_1(1 - \eta_1 - \eta_2) - \phi_1(A)\eta_1 + \nabla \cdot [(1 - \eta_1 - \eta_2)\nabla \zeta \eta_1] \]
\[ \frac{\partial \eta_2}{\partial \tau} = \rho_2 \eta_1(1 - \eta_1 - \eta_2) - \phi_2(A)\eta_2 + \nabla \cdot [(1 - \eta_1 - \eta_2)\nabla \zeta \eta_2] \quad (G) \]
\[ \frac{\partial A}{\partial \tau} = \rho_2 \eta_1 - \delta_3(A - 1) + A_3 \nabla^2 \zeta A \]

where \( \rho_2 = r_2/r_1 \), \( \delta_3 = d_2/r_1 \), and \( A_3 = D_3/D_n \). The death rate functions are also dimensionless having the form

\[ \phi_{1,2}(A) = \delta_{1,2} \left[ 1 - \exp \left\{ -\frac{\left( A - A_{1,2}^{\text{opt}} \right)^2}{2A_{1,2}^{\text{width}}} \right\} \right] \quad (H) \]

where \( \delta_{1,2} = d_{1,2}/r_1 \), \( A_{1,2}^{\text{opt}} = H_{1,2}^{\text{opt}}/H_0 \), and \( A_{1,2}^{\text{width}} = H_{1,2}^{\text{width}}/H_0 \) are all dimensionless as well.

Appendix B. Parameter Estimation

In vitro spheroid doubling times are between 1 and 4 days, therefore, we take \( r_2 = \ln 2/2.5 \) days \( \approx 3.2 \times 10^{-6} \) /s. For normal tissue wound healing, 4 days seems reasonable for the doubling time, therefore, we take \( r_1 = \ln 2/4.0 \) days \( \approx 2.0 \times 10^{-6} \) /s. We assume that the volume limited carrying capacities of tumor and normal tissue are the same: \( K_1 = K_2 \approx 5 \times 10^6 \) cells/cm$^3$. 

For vascular evacuation without buffering $d_3 = 2p$, where $x \approx 200/cm$ is the vessel areal density and $R \approx 1.2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ cm/s}$ is the vessel permeability for lactate resulting in a removal rate of $2.4 \times 10^{-2}/\text{s}$. Local buffering might increase this by 25%, thus, our final estimate for this rate is $d_3 \approx 3.0 \times 10^{-2}/\text{s}$.

If we assume the serum pH$_0$ = 7.4 is also the optimal pH for normal tissue growth, we have $H_0 = 3.98 \times 10^{-11} \text{ mol/cm}^3$. An optimal pH of 6.8 for tumor growth gives $H_2^\text{opt} = 1.58 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol/cm}^3$.

The acid production rate is trickier to estimate, therefore, we work backwards from known data. Assuming that we have a tumor sufficiently large that the temporal and spatial derivatives at its core are small. From Eq. E, we see that $r_3 = d_3 (H_\text{core} - H_0) / K_2$. Assuming a core pH of 6.4, we get $r_3 \approx 2.2 \times 10^{-20} \text{ mol/cell s}$.$^5$

The dimensionless variables are, using the above values, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Expression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_2$</td>
<td>$r_3 / t_1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_3$</td>
<td>$d_3 / t_1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta_3$</td>
<td>$D_3 / N_0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Lambda_1^\text{opt}$</td>
<td>$H_1^\text{opt} / H_0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Lambda_2^\text{opt}$</td>
<td>$H_2^\text{opt} / H_0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Lambda_1^\text{width}$</td>
<td>$H_1^\text{width} / H_0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Lambda_2^\text{width}$</td>
<td>$H_2^\text{width} / H_0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_1$</td>
<td>$d_1 / t_1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_2$</td>
<td>$d_2 / t_1$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A plot of the equation versus $\Lambda$ using the last six variables is shown in the following figure:

---

5 This value is remarkably consistent with the curve fit results of the Martin and Jain (34) data to our original, more simplistic model (20).
The wavefront velocities are in dimensionless form and must be multiplied by the velocity scale factor $\sqrt{1/DN}$.

In Fig. 1, we show the profiles after 600 time steps. Notice the interesting features on the tumor and acid edges which correspond to the point in space at which the acid level is optimal for the tumor.
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